

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 10/668,093  
Inventor: Sherlock  
Filing Date: September 19, 2003  
Group Art Unit: 2632  
Examiner: Nguyen  
Entitled: A SYSTEM FOR MONITORING AN ENVIRONMENT  
Docket No.: FRK1648-004

Mail Stop 313(c)  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 30 2005  
**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8 (A)**

Date of Deposit:

*August 24, 2005*

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop 313(c), Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

*Trisha M. Beachy-Bryant*  
TRISHA M. BEACHY-BRYANT

Sir:

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

As authorized and encouraged under 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98 and the provisions of MPEP §§ 609 and 707.05(b), Applicant submits herewith a reference recently uncovered during the prosecution of Applicant's European application. The reference and information are listed below and on attached form PTO-1449.

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENT**

| COUNTRY | PATENT NO.      | INVENTORS       |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Europe  | EP 1 071 055 B1 | Yoshlike et al. |

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.98, a copy of the document is enclosed for the express purpose of providing the Patent and Trademark Office with ample opportunity to evaluate the same and arrive at an independent assessment of the materiality, if any, to the examination of the above-identified application. The copy being submitted with this Information Disclosure Statement is the best copy available at this time.


The identification of the document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law.

Applicant submits this statement in accordance with their duty of disclosure under 37 CFR §1.56. This statement is filed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.97(b), before the mailing date of a first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination.

Applicant respectfully requests that the document cited herein be made of record in the normal manner and that such document appear on the printed patent as being considered and made of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 8.24.05

By:   
Michael Stonebrook  
Registration No. 53,851  
Standley Law Group LLP  
495 Metro Place South, Suite 210  
Dublin, Ohio 43017-5319  
Telephone: (614) 792-5555  
Facsimile: (614) 792-5536

**FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH  
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

|                             |   |                                 |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| U.S. Department of Commerce | : | Atty. Docket No.: FRK1648-004   |
| Patent and Trademark Office | : | Serial No.: 10/668,093          |
|                             | : | Filing Date: September 19, 2003 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION    | : | Applicant: Sherlock             |
| DISCLOSURE STATEMENT        | : | Group Art Unit: 2632            |
| BY APPLICANT                | : | Examiner: Nguyen                |

**RECEIVED**

AUG 30 2005

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENT**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

| Examiner's<br>Initial | Document Number | Date       | Inventors       | Translation<br>yes/no |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
|                       | EP 1 071 055 B1 | 12/22/2004 | Yoshlike et al. | yes                   |

|          |                 |
|----------|-----------------|
| Examiner | Date Considered |
|----------|-----------------|

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.